

Transportation Advisory Group – Sub Report D



Report subject	Traffic Regulation Orders – Alipore Close
Meeting date	22 January 2020
Status	Public Report
Executive summary	To consider representations to the advertisement of Waiting Restrictions in Alipore Close, and the junction with Birchwood Road
Recommendations	The Transportation Advisory Group is asked to consider recommending to the Cabinet that it approves: The Orders are confirmed as advertised
Reason for recommendations	This is a narrow cul-de-sac and the refuse collection service is frequently required to make a return visit with a small vehicle
Portfolio Holder(s):	Councillor Andy Hadley – Cabinet Member for Transport and Infrastructure
Corporate Director	Bill Cotton – Director of Regeneration and Economy
Service Director	Julian McLaughlin, Growth & Infrastructure
Contributors	Steve Dean – Senior Engineer Traffic Management
Wards	Penn Hill
Classification	For Decision

Background

The scheme was prompted by a request from a resident who had experienced repeated missed collection of their refuse. The refuse collection service confirmed that they frequently have to make return visits with a smaller vehicle to service the properties in Alipore Close

Summary of financial implications

1. The costs associated with both the advertisement and implementation of the TRO will be covered by the Minor Traffic Schemes budget. The cost is estimated to be £1,000. The cost of making return visits with a smaller refuse vehicle is significant.

Summary of legal implications

2. Highways Authorities are required to give formal consideration to any representations received during the advertisement period.

Summary of human resources implications

3. None.

Summary of environmental impact

4. None

Summary of public health implications

5. None

Summary of equality implications

6. The Traffic Regulation Orders do not have direct equality implications

Summary of risk assessment

7. None

Background papers

8. None

Appendices

Appendix – Summary of representations, and responses to issues raised

Plan



Appendix

Summary of Representations, and Responses to Issues Raised Alipore Close

The outcome of the public consultation was;

Representations	Response
<p>Three residents of Birchwood Road have submitted objections that the restrictions will displace parking onto Birchwood Road. They feel that the restrictions will prevent parking outside the corner properties, and exacerbate congestion in Birchwood Road.</p> <p>They feel that there are only parking problems in the Close because there is too much development locally, and the restrictions will only help the developers get access during their building work.</p>	<p>Alipore Close is a narrow cul-de-sac and access is frequently blocked for service vehicles. The restrictions will also clear the sightline at the junction with Birchwood Road.</p> <p>Birchwood Road is wide enough to accommodate any displaced parking</p>
<p>A resident of Alipore Close understands the reason for the proposal but asks if the restrictions could be limited to only apply 8am-6pm Monday to Friday</p>	<p>While a daytime restriction would allow access for refuse vehicles, emergency vehicles could be called to the close at any time.</p> <p>A daytime restriction would require timeplates and extra posts</p>
<p>A resident of Birchwood Mews has emailed to support the proposals and to ask that they be extended to the Birchwood Mews junction too.</p>	<p>The Council cannot introduce more restrictions than have been formally advertised without re-advertising the new proposals. These restrictions principally cover the narrow cul-de-sac of Alipore Close, Birchwood Mews is a private access.</p>

Recommendation

The cul-de-sac is narrow, and the waiting restrictions will prevent obstructive parking, and clear the sightline. It is recommended that the Order is confirmed as advertised.